

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/663,136	Applicant(s) LIU, FRANK	
	Examiner Jean B. Corrielus	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) John Kacvinsky.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13, 15, 16, 28 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

applicant agrees to amend claims 13, 15, 16, 28 and 34 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary in order to correct some minor informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)